Ergonomic Status, Whole Body Vibration and Health Assessment of U.S. Railroad Locomotives & Operators

Eckardt Johanning, MD, MSc 1,

Paul A. Landsbergis 2, Siegfried Fischer3, Eberhard Christ 3, Raymond Luhrman 1, Benno Görres 3

 Occupational and Environmental Life Science, Albany, NY.
Mount Sinai School of Medicine, DCPM, New York, NY.
Berufsgenossenschaftliches Institut f
 ür Arbeitsschutz -BGIA, St. Augustin, Germany.

Aim of study:

- Ergonomic factors in relationship to whole-body vibration (WBV) exposure in US locomotives
- Cab and seats in use
- Mitigating effects (WBV)

Background:

- Low back disorder occupational risk factors
 - Bending, twisting, lifting,
 - Awkward posture & WBV
- Rail vehicles:
 - Unique environment
 - Multi-axis whole-body vibration and shocks (WBV)
 - Lateral acceleration
 - Frequent irregular shocks
 - Events often unpredictable

(c) (Epidemiology scof, Dreeckinianductilottwitterermission only back disorders :

<u>Method:</u>

- self-administered 200-item survey (cross-section)
 - Musculoskeletal diseases (back, neck, large joints)
 - Working conditions and ergonomic factors
 - Psycho-social stress (Karasak et al Model)
- US & Canada randomly selected group of active railroad engineers and a comparison group (civil engineers)
- Response rate: 47% for railroad engineers (n= 1195) and 41% for controls (n=323).

Journal of Transportation Research Board; No 1899, 2004, p145-155

(c) (Epidemiology scof, Dreeck, and International only back disorders - Results:

- Serious LBP <u>and</u> neck/shoulder complaints among locomotive engineers was ~ double c/w control group
- Adjusted* OR for sciatic pain (a back condition with neurological complications) was 2.17 (95% CI 1.33-3.56) *Age, gender, race, smoking, nonoccupational WBV exposure
- Attenuation of risk after controlling for psychosocial work factors, time sitting at work, and time at work being bothered by vibration

- Standardized cross-sectional questionnaire survey of North-American locomotive engineers (n= 1195) and controls (n=323).
- Comparison with a non-exposed control group (sedentary office worker)
- 50+ locomotive cab and seat inspections
- Observation of tasks and body movements of locomotive operators during routine revenue service

Traditional cab and seat design ("AAR Control Stand")





New Generation "Wide body" locomotive cab and seating







Field observations:

(c) (2005) Occupational and Environmental Life Science, Dr. Eckardt Johanning. Reproduction with written permission only









Model GE C41-8, 4135 hp, built in 1991 (UP (c) (2005) Occupational and Environmental Life Science, Dr. Eckardt Johanning. Reproduction with written permission only - USSC Seat retrofitted (2001)



(c) (2005) Occupational and Environmental Life Science, Dr. Eckardt Johanning, Reproduction with written permission only Whole body vibration results (ISO 2631-1; 1997)

| Axis | Χ | У | Z | Vector sum | Χ | У | Z |
|---------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|---------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| (n) | 51 | 51 | 51 | 51 | 42 | 42 | 42 |
| <u>Mean</u> | <u>0.14</u> | <u>0.22</u> | <u>0.28</u> | | <u>1.43</u> | <u>1.21</u> | <u>0.97</u> |
| Min | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.09 | 0.13 | 1.00 | 1.03 | 0.61 |
| Max | 0.72 | 0.71 | 0.50 | 1.44 | 2.32 | 1.51 | 1.56 |
| SD | 0.14 | 0.12 | 0.08 | 0.22 | 0.32 | 0.11 | 0.17 |
| Mode | 0.07 | 0.14 | 0.32 | N/A | 1.20 | 1.25 | 0.92 |

(c) (Shock indicator (non-sinusoidal vibration) on only

| | Crest Factor | | | Μ | TVV/ | a _w | $VDV/a_{w}T^{1/4}$ | | |
|-------------|--------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|----------------|--------------------|------|------|
| | Х | У | Z | X | У | Ż | X | У | Z |
| <u>Mean</u> | 15.95 | 10.91 | 14.42 | 7.37 | 6.12 | 5.59 | | | |
| Min | 6.60 | 3.80 | 6.30 | 3.20 | 2.90 | 2.10 | 1.44 | 1.44 | 1.44 |
| Max | 67.26 | 28.07 | 45.74 | 26.16 | 14.38 | 10.29 | 4.27 | 2.48 | 2.09 |
| SD | 11.39 | 4.94 | 7.03 | 4.15 | 2.11 | 1.75 | | | |
| Mode | 7.90 | 8.30 | 9.30 | 4.70 | N/A | 4.40 | | | |

Seat characteristics (n=1419)

| | Locomotive o | notive operator | | | | |
|-----------------------------|--------------|-----------------|------|-----|----------|-------------|
| | | | | | | |
| | (%) | Ν | (%) | Ν | χ^2 | $p(\chi^2)$ |
| | | | | | | |
| Arm rest | 82.3 | 1011 | 89.1 | 313 | 8.29 | 0.004 |
| Any back support | 78.7 | 1043 | 90.3 | 310 | 21.24 | <0.001 |
| Adjustable back support | 30.5 | 1026 | 14.7 | 299 | 29.33 | <0.001 |
| Air cushion system | 11.1 | 1026 | 37.4 | 297 | 112.55 | <0.001 |
| Round seat pad (toad stool) | 20.0 | 985 | 23.1 | 303 | 1.36 | 0.244 |
| Footrest available? | 34.1 | 954 | 5.6 | 305 | 94.83 | <0.001 |

(c) (2005 Expand an antioned Liefence Relative Jacobies and the second second



Odds ratios of vibration, seating and other factors and musculoskeletal disorders. Reproduction with written permission only

| | | Model 4 | | | Model 5 | | | Model 6 | | |
|---|---|--|----------------|------------|----------------------------|----------------|---------|----------------------------|----------------|---------|
| | | OR ; CI (Lower – Upper); p | | | OR ; CI (Lower – Upper); p | | | OR ; CI (Lower – Upper); p | | |
| 1 | Back pain lasting more than 1 day in the past 12 months (n = 1059) | 1.19 | 1.12 – 1.27 | < 0.001 | 1.19 | 1.12 – 1.27 | < 0.001 | 1.19 | 1.12 – 1.27 | < 0.001 |
| 2 | Neck or shoulder pain lasting a day or more during the past 12 months (n = 853) | 1.16 | 1.10 – 1.23 | < 0.001 | 1.16 | 1.10 – 1.23 | < 0.001 | 1.16 | 1.10 – 1.23 | < 0.001 |
| | | Following only for engineers who reported back pain lasting more than 1 day in the past 12 months: | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | Sciatica pain at least once a week in past year (n = 765) | 1.19 | 1.11 – 1.28 | < 0.001 | 1.19 | 1.11 – 1.27 | < 0.001 | 1.19 | 1.11 – 1.27 | < 0.001 |

Model : Time at work being bothered by vibration (hours/day), Adjusted for gender, racial origin (Caucasian vs. other), currently smoking (Y/N), vibration exposure second job (Y/N), spare time vibration exposure (min), adjusted for seating characteristics (Arm rests, any kind of back support, special adjustable lower back support, air cushion system, availability of footrest) and engineers seat rating (comfort, adjustment, turning seat, arm rests, leg room).

Model 4: In addition, adjusted for time sitting at work (hours/day)

5: In addition, adjusted for employment duration (10 year increments).

6: In addition, adjusted for age.

Important vibration risk assessment factors:

- Vibration basic values
- Crest factor, MTVV, VDV etc.
- r Resonance range (hertz) (PSD)?
- ✓ Exposure duration
- Seating Posture
- Adequate rest periods
- Consider all facts in overall analysis

- Locomotive engineers are working often overtime and long hours (+60 h/week)
- Seats in use are often defective, loose and poorly adjustable
- Seat position and mounting contributes to ergonomic postural stress (back).
- A health survey indicates high MSD risk

(c) (2005) Occupational and Environmental Life Science, Dr. Eckardt Johanning. Reproduction with written permission only INE FEDERAL GOVERNIVENT Studied Shock & Vibration in Locomotives in Order to Protect Rail Employees

FRA-OPP-73-1 PB 213 225 HUMAN FACTORS SURVEY OF LOCOMOTIVE CABS



30 JUNE 1972

NATIONAL TECHNICAL INFORMATION SERVICE

PREPARED FOR

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION FEDERAL RAILROAD ADMINISTRATION Washington, D.C.



1998





Summary and Conclusion

- Seated locomotive engineers are exposed to unique vibration and shock in all three direction.
- Lateral vibration and unpredictable irregular shocks are of concern.
- Current seats, including newer type seats appear inadequate to reduce vibration and provide sufficient postural support.
- Combined ergonomic risk factors and WBV exposure
- Preventive engineering and interventions utilizing current technology and medical knowledge appear prudent

Outlook – Real time postural measurements

